

**REMARKS**

Applicants respectfully request further examination and reconsideration in view of the comments set forth fully below. Claims 1-15, 19-35, and 44-51 were previously pending. Within the previous Office Action, Claims 1-15, 19-35 and 44-51 were rejected. By the above amendment, Claim 1 has been amended. Claims 1-15, 19-35 and 44-51 are now pending.

**Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102**

Within the previous Office Action, Claims 1, 8, 19, 24, 30, 44, 50 and 51 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,438,604 to Kuver et al. (hereinafter “Kuver”). The Applicants respectfully disagree with this rejection.

Kuver discloses a digital video network interface for transferring isochronous video data over an asynchronous local area network. Kuver teaches a **transmitting-side** 1394 network interface 4, which is “a system for receiving digital video packets from the 1394 serial cable 2, *removing the 1394 data packet headers*, repackaging two or more (preferably three) data packets into network protocol format and transmitting the data over the asynchronous network 5.” [Kuver, col. 7, lines 22-27, emphasis added] Kuver also teaches a **receiving-side** 1394 network interface which “receives and unpackages network data packets arriving from asynchronous network 5, reformats the video data into IEEE 1394 format and transfers the video data packets via the IEEE 1394 serial cable 7 to the isochronous receiving unit, DV camera 8.” [Kuver, col. 7, lines 37-46] Kuver further teaches that

[u]pon ***receiving*** the IEEE 1394 data packet, physical layer 15 transmits the data packet to link layer 16. Link layer 16 interprets the data in the data packet and removes all except data, meaning that *header information, header\_CRC information and data\_CRC information, are all removed*. This leaves just the data field from the packet, which DMA 17 transmits to SDRAM 22. That is, link layer 16 interprets the IEEE 1394 header and the information regarding the data in the data packet in order to know where the data came from and where the data is going. **Link layer 16 then strips off unneeded information leaving only the data field.** [Kuver, col. 9, line 61 - col. 10, line 6, emphasis added]

Kuver therefore teaches that when *receiving* a packet, the header and all information other than the data is stripped from the packet. In contrast to the teachings of Kuver, as will be discussed in detail below, in the present invention, when *receiving* a packet, the packet header is maintained with the packet and a meta data header is added to the received packet.

Within the previous Office Action, the concepts of reception and transmission of packets are confused. Within the rejections and the application of the cited references, there is a fundamental confusion regarding the treatment of packets being received and the treatment of packets being transmitted. All of the citations provided from Kuver within the previous Office Action are concerned with *transmission* of packets, not *reception*. Specifically, in the cited section of Kuver at column 12, lines 52-59, *transmission* of a packet from the interface out across the IEEE 1394 bus is being taught. In this cited section it is taught that

DMA 17 access the SDRAM at the location of the empty pointer, and gives the digital video data to link layer 16 for reconstruction of the IEEE 1394 headers, link layer 16 gives the data, which is now formatted in accordance with IEEE 1394 protocol to physical layer 15 for *isochronous transmission* out across 1394 bus to a receiving digital video device. [Kuver, col. 12, lines 52-59, emphasis added]

In this section, Kuver is teaching adding an IEEE 1394 header to a packet of data and then *transmitting* the packet across the 1394 bus. This added IEEE 1394 header is the packet header necessary for *transmission* across the IEEE 1394 bus. Kuver does not teach adding a meta data header to a *received* packet of data which already included a packet header. Further, the cited Claim 14 of Kuver reads as follows

14. The method according to claim 13, wherein the step of converting isochronous digital video data includes *removing IEEE 1394 header information* from the digital video data, *adding network header information* to the digital video data, repackaging the digital video data with the network header into a network packet which is formatted in accordance with the local area network protocol format and storing the network packet for *asynchronous output in the transmitting step*. [Kuver, col. 17, lines 38-46, emphasis added]

In this cited claim, Kuver teaches stripping the IEEE 1394 header information, adding network header information and then transmitting a packet over the network. Thus, Kuver teaches stripping the IEEE 1394 packet header and then adding the network header. Kuver does not teach adding a meta data header to a *received* packet, which already included a packet header.

Within the Response to Arguments section of the previous Office Action, it is stated that “Kuver extends the packet of data by the added network header to the received digital video data (col. 17, line 41) and stores the extended packet of data in a transmitting step (col. 17, lines 45 and 46).” [Office Action, page 6] The applicants respectfully disagree. As discussed above, in column 17, lines 38-46 (Claim 14), Kuver does not teach extending a packet of data by adding a network header. Kuver first teaches “removing IEEE 1394 header information” and then “adding network header information.” Both headers are not in the packet at the same time. Therefore, Kuver does not teach extending the packet of data by adding a meta data header. Kuver teaches stripping one header, specific to one network (network the packet is received from), and then adding another header, specific to the other network (network the packet is transmitted on). Kuver does not teach adding a meta data header to a packet which still includes a packet header.

In contrast to the teachings of Kuver, the apparatus and method of the present invention *receives* a received packet of data to be written to the media storage device, adds a meta data header to the received packet of data thereby forming an extended packet of data, and stores the extended packet of data onto a media within the media storage device. **The extended packet of data includes the packet header and the meta data header.** In one embodiment, referring to Figs. 4A and 4B, a series of source packets 60-63 is generated at a source device 50. The source device 50 then applies source packet headers 68-71 to each of the source packets 60-63, respectively. The source device 50 then splits the combination source packets and source packet headers into data blocks, with each source packet being split into multiple data blocks. Some number of the data blocks are then combined into an isochronous packet and the isochronous header and the common isochronous packet (CIP) header are then applied to the isochronous packet by the source device 50. Once the isochronous and CIP headers are applied to the isochronous data packet, the packet is then transmitted by the source device 50 over the IEEE 1394-1995 serial bus to the media storage device 40 of the present invention. **When the packet**

is received by the media storage device 40, a meta-data header is added by the media storage device 40 to the received packet. As mentioned above, Kuver does not teach or disclose adding a header to the received packet of data. Kuver teaches stripping one header, specific to one network (network the packet is received from), and then adding another header, specific to the other network (network the packet is transmitted on).

The independent Claim 1 is directed to a method of writing data to a media storage device. The method of Claim 1 comprises receiving a received packet of data to be written to the media storage device, the received packet of data including a packet header, adding a meta data header to the received packet of data thereby forming an extended packet of data including the packet header and the meta data header, and storing the extended packet of data onto a media within the media storage device. As described above, Kuver does not teach adding a meta header to the *received* packet of data thereby forming an extended packet of data including the packet header and the meta data header. Kuver teaches stripping one header, specific to one network (network the packet is received from), and then adding another header, specific to the other network (network the packet is transmitted on). For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 1 is allowable over the teachings of Kuver.

The independent Claim 8 is directed to a method of reading data from a media storage device which has previously been stored with header data generated by the media storage device. The method of Claim 8 comprises locating a first header data, including a cycle mark value having a pattern, reading a previously stored packet of data following the first header data from a media within the media storage device, the previously stored packet of data including a packet header, stripping the first header data from the previously stored packet of data thereby forming a retrieved packet of data, and transmitting the retrieved packet of data to another device. As described above, Kuver does not teach *reading* data from a media storage device by locating a first header data and reading a **previously stored** packet of data following the first header data from a media within the media storage device, the previously stored packet of data including a packet header. Kuver also does not teach stripping the first header data from a previously stored packet including a packet header and then *transmitting* the retrieved packet of data to another device. Kuver teaches stripping one header, specific to one network (network the packet is received from), and then adding another header, specific to the other network (network the

packet is transmitted on). For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 8 is allowable over the teachings of Kuver.

The independent Claim 19 is directed to a meta data header added to received packets by a media storage device as the packets are recorded on storage media within the media storage device, each of the received packets including an existing header. The meta data header of Claim 19 comprises a cycle mark value including a pattern used to locate cycle boundaries within the received packets and a cycle count value specifying a cycle number of a cycle in which the received packets are received. As described above, Kuver does not teach a meta data header added to a *received* packet including an existing header. Kuver teaches stripping one header, specific to one network (network the packet is received from), and then adding another header, specific to the other network (network the packet is transmitted on). Further, Kuver does not teach a meta data header with a cycle mark value and a cycle count value. For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 19 is allowable over the teachings of Kuver.

The independent Claim 24 is directed to a media storage device. The media storage device of Claim 24 comprises means for interfacing configured for receiving a stream of data, thereby forming a received stream of data, and also for transmitting a retrieved stream of data, the received stream of data including packet header data, means for storing data for storing and retrieving the received stream of data, and means for processing coupled to the means for interfacing and to the means for storing for adding meta header data to the received stream of data as the received stream of data is received and providing the meta header data and the received stream of data to the means for storing for recording thereby forming a recorded stream of data, the meta header data including a cycle mark value marking cycle boundaries within the recorded stream of data. As described above, Kuver does not teach adding meta header data to the *received* stream of data, the received stream of data including packet header data, as the received stream of data is received and storing the header data and the received stream of data. For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 24 is allowable over the teachings of Kuver.

The independent Claim 30 is directed to a media storage device. The media storage device of Claim 30 comprises an interface circuit configured to receive a stream of data, thereby forming a received stream of data, and also to transmit a retrieved stream of data, the received stream of data including packet header data, storage media configured to store and retrieve the

received stream of data, and an embedded stream processor coupled to the interface circuit and to the storage media to add meta header data to the received stream of data as it is received and provide the meta header data and the received stream of data to the storage media for recording to form a recorded stream of data, the meta header data including a cycle mark value marking cycle boundaries within the recorded stream of data. As described above, Kuver does not teach an embedded stream processor to add meta header data to the *received* stream of data, which includes packet header data as it is received. Further, Kuver does not teach providing the meta header data and the received stream of data to the storage media for recording. For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 30 is allowable over the teachings of Kuver.

The independent Claim 44 is directed to a method of writing data to a media storage device. The method of Claim 44 comprises receiving a received packet of data to be written to the media storage device, the received packet of data including a packet header, adding a meta header to the received packet of data thereby forming an extended packet of data, wherein the received packet of data is an isochronous packet of data received over an isochronous channel, and storing the extended packet of data onto a media within the media storage device. As described above, Kuver does not teach adding a meta header to the *received* packet of data, the received packet of data including a packet header, thereby forming an extended packet of data and storing the extended packet of data onto a media within the media storage device. For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 44 is allowable over the teachings of Kuver.

The independent Claim 50 is directed to a method of writing data to a media storage device. The method of Claim 50 comprises receiving a received packet of data to be written to the media storage device, the received packet of data including a packet header and a common isochronous packet header, adding a meta data header to the received packet of data thereby forming an extended packet of data and storing the extended packet of data onto a media within the media storage device. As described above, Kuver does not teach adding a meta data header to a *received* packet that includes a packet header and a common isochronous packet header to form an extended packet of data and storing the extended packet of data onto a media within a media storage device. For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 50 is allowable over the teachings of Kuver.

The independent Claim 51 is directed to a media storage device. The media storage device of Claim 51 comprises an interface circuit configured to receive a stream of data, thereby forming a received stream of data, and also to transmit a retrieved stream of data, storage media configured to store and retrieve the received stream of data, wherein the received stream of data includes one or more received packets of data, each including a packet header and a common isochronous packet header, and an embedded stream processor coupled to the interface circuit and to the storage media to add a meta data header to each received packet in the received stream of data as it is received, thereby forming an extended packet of data, and provide the extended packet of data to the storage media for recording to form a recorded stream of data, the meta data header including a cycle mark value marking cycle boundaries within the recorded stream of data. As described above, Kuver does not teach receiving a stream of data including one or more received packets, each including a packet header and a common isochronous packet header and adding a meta data header to each *received* packet in the received stream of data. For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 51 is allowable over the teachings of Kuver.

#### **Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103**

Within the previous Office Action, Claims 1-13, 19-26, 29-32, 35 and 44-51 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter “the AAPA”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,012,117 to Traw et al. (hereinafter “Traw”). The Applicants respectfully disagree with this rejection.

Referring to Figure 4A of the present invention, which is designated as prior art, and the accompanying description, the isochronous and CIP headers are added to the isochronous data packet before the packet is *transmitted* by the source device 50 over the IEEE 1394-1995 serial bus to the media storage device 40 of the present invention. Referring now to Figure 4B, which is in accordance with the present invention, not the prior art, a new header (the meta data header) is added by the media storage device 40 after the packet is *received* by the media storage device thereby forming an extended packet, and storing the extended packet on a media storage device. The extended packet includes both the packet header and the added meta data header. AAPA does not teach or disclose adding a header after the packet is *received* by a media storage device thereby forming an extended packet of data which includes both the packet header and the added

meta data header. Rather, AAPA simply teaches that the isochronous and CIP headers are inserted by the source device **prior to transmission** on the sending side. Again, within the previous Office Action, the concepts of transmission and reception are being fundamentally confused and misapplied.

As recognized within the previous Office Action, Traw also does not teach adding a header to a received packet of data thereby forming an extended packet of data. Traw also does not teach storing the extended packet of data onto a media within the media storage device. Accordingly, neither the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw nor their combination teach adding a header to a **received** packet of data thereby forming an extended packet of data which includes both a packet header and a meta data header and storing the extended packet of data on to a media within the media storage device.

In contrast to the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination, the method of and apparatus for writing and reading time sensitive data within a storage device of the present invention receives a received packet of data to be written to the media storage device, adds a header to the received packet of data thereby forming an extended packet of data, and stores the extended packet of data onto a media within the media storage device. **The extended packet of data includes the packet header and the meta data header.** Referring to Figure 4A of the present application, the data packet 80, prior to transmission by the source device 50, includes the Isoch header, the CIP header and the data blocks, as described previously. However, after **receipt** of the packet of data, the present invention adds the Meta-Data Header 82 (Figure 4B), in contrast to the configuration described in either the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw, or their combination. As described above, neither the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw nor their combination teach receiving a received packet of data to be written to the media storage device, adding a header to the **received** packet of data thereby forming an extended packet of data and storing the extended packet of data onto a media within the media storage device.

The independent Claim 1 is directed to a method of writing data to a media storage device. The method of Claim 1 comprises receiving a received packet of data to be written to the media storage device, the received packet of data including a packet header, adding a meta



data header to the received packet of data thereby forming an extended packet of data including the packet header and the meta data header, and storing the extended packet of data onto a media within the media storage device. As described above, neither the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw nor their combination teach adding a meta data header to the *received* packet of data which includes a packet header, thereby forming an extended packet of data which includes the packet header and the meta data header, and storing the extended packet of data onto a media within the media storage device. For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 1 is allowable over the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination.

Claims 2-7 are all dependent on the independent Claim 1. As discussed above, the independent Claim 1 is allowable over the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination. Accordingly, the dependent Claims 2-7 are all also allowable as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

The independent Claim 8 is directed to a method of reading data from a media storage device which has previously been stored with header data generated by the media storage device. The method of claim 8 comprises locating a first header data, including a cycle mark value having a pattern, reading a previously stored packet of data following the first header data from a media within the media storage device, the previously stored packet of data including a packet header, stripping the first header data from the previously stored packet of data thereby forming a retrieved packet of data, and transmitting the retrieved packet of data to another device. As described above, neither the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw nor their combination teach generating header data by a media storage device, stripping the first header data from the previously stored packet of data which includes a packet header, thereby forming a retrieved packet of data and transmitting the retrieved packet of data to another device. For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 8 is allowable over the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination.

Claims 9-13 are all dependent on the independent Claim 8. As discussed above, the independent Claim 8 is allowable over the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination. Accordingly, the dependent Claims 9-13 are all also allowable as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

The independent Claim 19 is directed to a meta data header added to received packets by a media storage device as the packets are recorded on storage media within the media storage device, each of the received packets including an existing header. The meta data header of Claim 19 comprises a cycle mark value including a pattern used to locate cycle boundaries within the received packets and a cycle count value specifying a cycle number of a cycle in which the received packets are received. As described above, neither the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw nor their combination teach adding a meta data header to an existing header of *received* packets by a media storage device, a cycle mark value including a pattern used to locate cycle boundaries within the received packets and a cycle count value specifying a cycle number of a cycle in which the received packets are received. For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 19 is allowable over the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination.

Claims 20-23 are all dependent on the independent Claim 19. As discussed above, the independent Claim 19 is allowable over the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination. Accordingly, the dependent Claims 20-23 are all also allowable as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

The independent Claim 24 is directed to a media storage device. The media storage device of Claim 24 comprises means for interfacing configured for receiving a stream of data, thereby forming a received stream of data, and also for transmitting a retrieved stream of data, the received stream of data including packet header data, means for storing data for storing and retrieving the received stream of data, and means for processing coupled to the means for interfacing and to the means for storing for adding meta header data to the received stream of data as the received stream of data is received and providing the meta header data and the received stream of data to the means for storing for recording thereby forming a recorded stream of data, the meta header data including a cycle mark value marking cycle boundaries within the

recorded stream of data. As described above, neither the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw nor their combination teach a means for processing for adding meta header data to the *received* stream of data which includes packet header data, as the received stream of data is received and providing the meta header data and the received stream of data to the means for storing for recording thereby forming a recorded stream of data. For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 24 is allowable over the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination.

Claims 25, 26 and 29 are all dependent on the independent Claim 24. As discussed above, the independent Claim 24 is allowable over the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination. Accordingly, the dependent Claims 25, 26 and 29 are all also allowable as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

The independent Claim 30 is directed to a media storage device. The media storage device of Claim 30 comprises an interface circuit configured to receive a stream of data, thereby forming a received stream of data, and also to transmit a retrieved stream of data, the received stream of data including packet header data, storage media configured to store and retrieve the received stream of data, and an embedded stream processor coupled to the interface circuit and to the storage media to add meta header data to the received stream of data as it is received and provide the meta header data and the received stream of data to the storage media for recording to form a recorded stream of data, the meta header data including a cycle mark value marking cycle boundaries within the recorded stream of data. As described above, neither the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw nor their combination teach an embedded stream processor to add meta header data to the *received* stream of data which includes packet header data, as it is received and provide the meta header data and the received stream of data to the storage media for recording to form a recorded stream of data. For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 30 is allowable over the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination.

Claims 31, 32 and 35 are all dependent on the independent Claim 30. As discussed above, the independent Claim 30 is allowable over the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination. Accordingly, the dependent Claims 31, 32 and 35 are all also allowable as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

The independent Claim 44 is directed to a method of writing data to a media storage device. The method of Claim 44 comprises receiving a received packet of data to be written to the media storage device, the received packet of data including a packet header, adding a meta header to the received packet of data thereby forming an extended packet of data, wherein the received packet of data is an isochronous packet of data received over an isochronous channel, and storing the extended packet of data onto a media within the media storage device. As described above, neither the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw nor their combination teach adding a meta header to the *received* packet of data which includes a packet header, thereby forming an extended packet of data and storing the extended packet of data onto a media within the media storage device. For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 44 is allowable over the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination.

Claims 45-49 are all dependent on the independent Claim 44. As discussed above, the independent Claim 44 is allowable over the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination. Accordingly, the dependent Claims 45-49 are all also allowable as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

The independent Claim 50 is directed to a method of writing data to a media storage device. The method of Claim 50 comprises receiving a received packet of data to be written to the media storage device, the received packet of data including a packet header and a common isochronous packet header, adding a meta data header to the received packet of data thereby forming an extended packet of data and storing the extended packet of data onto a media within the media storage device. As described above, neither the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw nor their combination teach adding a meta data header to a *received* packet that includes a packet header and a common isochronous packet header to form an extended packet of data and storing the extended packet of data onto a media within a media

storage device. For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 50 is allowable over the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination.

The independent Claim 51 is directed to a media storage device. The media storage device of Claim 51 comprises an interface circuit configured to receive a stream of data, thereby forming a received stream of data, and also to transmit a retrieved stream of data, storage media configured to store and retrieve the received stream of data, wherein the received stream of data includes one or more received packets of data, each including a packet header and a common isochronous packet header, and an embedded stream processor coupled to the interface circuit and to the storage media to add a meta data header to each received packet in the received stream of data as it is received, thereby forming an extended packet of data, and provide the extended packet of data to the storage media for recording to form a recorded stream of data, the meta data header including a cycle mark value marking cycle boundaries within the recorded stream of data. As described above, neither the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw nor their combination teach receiving a stream of data each including a packet header and adding a meta data header to each *received* packet in the received stream of data. For at least these reasons, the independent Claim 51 is allowable over the teachings of the specification of the present invention which is designated as prior art, Traw and their combination.

Within the previous Office Action, Claims 14, 15, 27, 28, 33 and 34 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the AAPA in view of Traw as applied to Claim 1 above, and further in view of Kuver. Claims 14 and 15 are dependent on the independent Claim 8. Claims 27 and 28 are dependent on the independent Claim 24. Claims 33 and 34 are dependent on the independent Claim 30. As discussed above, the independent Claims 8, 24 and 30 are all allowable over the teachings of the AAPA, Traw and their combination. Accordingly, the dependent Claims 14, 15, 27, 28, 33 and 34 are all also allowable as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

For the reasons given above, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are now in a condition for allowance, and allowance at an early date would be appreciated. Should the Examiner have any questions or comments, they are encouraged to call the undersigned at (408) 530-9700 to discuss the same so that any outstanding issues can be expeditiously resolved.

Respectfully submitted,  
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP

Dated: July 18, 2005

By: Jonathan O. Owens  
Jonathan O. Owens  
Reg. No. 37,902  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR § 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP

Date: 7/18/05 By: [Signature] - 23 -